

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/576,797	04/27/2007	Maurizio Galimberti	07040.0258-00000	5909	
22852 7599 1293/2008 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NE WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			FISCHER, JUSTIN R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/31/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/576,797 GALIMBERTI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Justin R. Fischer 1791 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 72-146 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 72-146 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 042106

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/576,797 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2 Claims 72-96, 99, 101-115, 124-133, 136-144, and 146 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson (EP 1193085) and further in view of Magnus (US 5,238,991). Larson substantially teaches the claimed tire construction. including at least one tire component formed of the following composition: 100 phr of a diene based elastomeric polymer and 1-10 phr of an intercalated, layered clay (such as montmorillonite) (Paragraph 20). The reference further suggests that possible tire components include tire carcass plies, carcass belts, sidewall inserts, and apex components (Paragraph 3). The reference, however, is silent as to the inclusion of a methylene donor and a methylene acceptor. In any event, methylene donors and acceptors represent the conventional additives that included in a wide variety of tire components in order to improve mechanical properties and bonding with adjacent reifnrocing elements, as shown for example by Magnus (Column 4, Lines 60+). It is emphasized that the composition of Larson is described as including "various commonly used additive materials" (Paragraph 47) and one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have recognized such language as including methylene donors and acceptors. As to the additive loadings, Larson teaches that additive materials generally

Art Unit: 1791

have a loading in accordance to the claimed invention (Paragraph 48). Lastly, applicant has not provided a conclusive showing of unexpected results to establish a criticality for a composition including layered materials (clays) and methylene additives (Magnus specifically teaches an increase in stiffness due to the inclusion of methylene additives, which appears to be applicant's purported benefits from Table 1).

Regarding claims 73, 74, 79, 80, 128, and 129, as noted above, the composition of Larson includes between 1 and 10 phr of layered material or clay.

As to claims 75, 81, and 130, the exfoliated platelets (individual layers) have a thickness of about 1 nm (Paragraph 24).

With respect to claims 76, 77, 82, 83, 131, and 132, as noted above, the claimed ranges are consistent with those commonly associated with tire additives, Such as methylene donors and acceptors.

Regarding claim 78, Larson suggests the exemplary formation of carcass plies, belt plies, sidewall inserts, and apex components.

As to claims 84-86, the claims fail to require each of the disclosed tire components (claim 78 simply requires at least one component selected from a group of components).

Regarding claims 87-92, as noted above, the rubber composition of Larson can be used to manufacture a wide variety of tire components, including sidewall inserts and apex components. It is well recognized that sidewall inserts (runflat inserts) and apex components (fillers) have high modulus values and high hardness values. More particularly, the claimed values are consistent with the properties conventionally

Art Unit: 1791

associated with the aforementioned components. It is emphasized that Larson is generally directed to the manufacture of a wide variety of tire components and the disclosed properties are consistent with those associated with a wide variety of such tire components.

With respect to claims 93-96 and 133, Larson suggests the possible use of natural rubber, which is recognized as having a glass transition temperature below 20 degrees Celsius (Paragraph 38). Also, Larson teaches a composition having 100 phr of at least one diene based elastomer, such as natural rubber (Paragraph 20).

Regarding claim 99, it is extremely well known to modify diene based elastomers with a variety of functional groups, including those set forth by the claimed invention (improves interaction of elastomer with additional components). More particularly, ENR or epoxidized natural rubber represents one of the most common forms of a modified diene based elastomer and such a rubber is commonly used to form a wide variety of tire components, including those detailed by Larson.

As to claims 101, 102, and 136, Larson suggests the use of montmorillonite clay (Paragraph 20).

Regarding claims 103 and 104, Larson suggests the use of quaternary ammonium salts (Paragraph 29).

With respect to claims 105-109 and 137-139, Magnus suggests the use of each of the claimed methylene additives. With specific respect to claim 109, the particular form in which the methylene donor and methylene acceptor are added to the

Art Unit: 1791

composition do not further define the structure of the claimed tire article (limitations concerned with the method of forming the composition).

As to claims 110, 111, and 140, the composition of Larson includes between 20 and 99 phr of at least one filler, such as carbon black (Paragraph 20).

Regarding claims 112-115, 126, 141, and 144, the composition of Larson includes the claimed silane coupling agent (Paragraphs 22 and 23).

With respect to claims 124, 125, 142, and 143, the composition of Larson can include silica (Paragraph 20).

Claims 97, 98, 100, 116-123, 134, 135, and 145 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson and Magnus as applied in the previous paragraph and further in view of Brown (US 4,871,004).

As to claims 97, 98, 100, 134, and 135, it is well known to form tire rubber components from a mixture of rubber compounds. More particularly, EPDM rubbers represent one of the most common compounds used in tire components. Brown provides one example of tire components comprising diene based elastomers and/or EPDM rubbers (Column 4, Lines 25+) and applicant has not provided a conclusive showing of unexpected results to establish a criticality for the claimed combination. Also, with respect to claims 100 and 135, as noted in the previous paragraph, it is extremely well known to modify diene based elastomers with a variety of functional groups, including those set forth by the claimed invention (improves interaction of elastomer with additional components).

Art Unit: 1791

Regarding claims 116-123 and 145, while Larson fails to expressly disclose the inclusion of aramid fibers, reinforcing fibers are well recognized as being "commonly used additive materials" and such are taught by Larson as detailed above. Brown provides one example in which aramid fibers are included in a wide variety of tire components, including apex components and belt plies, in order, among other things, to provide a high degree of stability at low elongations (Column 8, Lines 59+). As such, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to include aramid fibers in the tire components of Larson.

Additionally, Larson suggests the use of short fibrillated poly(paraphenyleneterephthalamide) fibers at a loading between 0.2 and 20 phr (Column 7, Lines 38-44).

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin R. Fischer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1215. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,797 Page 7

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Justin Fischer /Justin R Fischer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791 December 11, 2008